Minkowski bases on algebraic surfaces with rational polyhedral pseudo-effective cone

Piotr Pokora[*], Tomasz Szemberg[†]

April 8, 2014

arXiv:1401.0552v2 [math.AG] 6 Apr 2014

Abstract

The purpose of this note is to study the number of elements in Minkowski bases on algebraic surfaces with rational polyhedral pseudo-effective cone.

Keywords Okounkov bodies, Minkowski basis, del Pezzo surfaces

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 14C20, 14M25

1 Introduction

Lazarsfeld and Mustaţă in [5] and Kaveh and Khovanskii in [3] initiated a systematic study of Okounkov bodies. These are convex bodies $\Delta(D)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ attached to big divisors D on a smooth projective variety X of dimension n. They depend on the choice of a flag of subvarieties $(Y_n, Y_{n-1}, \ldots, Y_1)$ of codimensions $n, n-1, \ldots, 1$ in X respectively, such that Y_n is a non-singular point of each of the Y_i's. We refer to [5] for details of the construction and a very enjoyable introduction to this circle of ideas.

Okounkov bodies are subject of intensive ongoing research. Łuszcz-Świdecka observed in [6] that for a del Pezzo surface there are finitely many basic bodies, called the Minkowski basis, such that all other bodies are obtained as their Minkowski sums (therefor the name of the basis). Building upon these ideas, Łuszcz-Świdecka and Schmitz introduced in [7] an effective algorithmic construction of Minkowski bases for algebraic surfaces with rational polyhedral pseudo-effective cone $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X)$.

In the present note we consider a natural question of how many elements there are in a Minkowski bases in the set-up of [7]. The answer is closely related to the partition, governed by Zariski decompositions, of the big cone of arbitrary smooth projective surfaces introduced in [2].

2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the notation and collect some basic ideas underlying the present note. By a *curve* we mean here an irreducible and reduced complete subscheme of dimension 1. For a divisor D on a smooth projective surface X we denote by $D^{\perp}$ the set of curves intersecting D with multiplicity zero, i.e. $D^{\perp} := \{C \subset X : \ D.C = 0\}$.

We begin with a tool fundamental for understanding linear series on algebraic surfaces.

Definition 2.1 (Zariski decomposition)**.** Let X be a smooth projective surface and let D be a pseudo-effective $\mathbb{Q}$–divisor on X. Then there exist $\mathbb{Q}$–divisors P_D and N_D such that

a) $D = P_D + N_D$;

[*]Research of Pokora was partially done during his PhD Internship in Warsaw Center of Mathematic and Computer Sciences.
[†]Research of Szemberg was partially supported by NCN grant UMO-2011/01/B/ST1/04875

b) P_D is a nef divisor and N_D is either zero or it is supported on a union of curves $N_1, \ldots, N_r$ with negative definite intersection matrix;

c) $N_i \in (P_D)^{\perp}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Let (x, C) be a flag on a surface X. Let D be a big divisor on X with Zariski decomposition $D = P_D + N_D$. Lazarsfeld and Mustaţă give in [5] the description of $\Delta(D)$ as the area enclosed between the graphs of functions $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ defined for real numbers t between 0 and $\sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} : \ D - sC \ \text{ is effective}\}$ as follows.

$$\alpha(t) = \mathrm{ord}_x(N_{D-tC}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(t) = \alpha(t) + \mathrm{vol}_{X|C}(P_{D-tC}) = \alpha(t) + P_{D-tC} \cdot C.$$

Recently, the authors of [7] presented a different approach to describing Okounkov bodies for a certain class of smooth complex projective surfaces.

Theorem 2.2 (Łuszcz-Świdecka, Schmitz)**.** *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}(X)}$ rational polyhedral. Given a flag (x, C), where x is a general point and C is a big and nef curve on X there exists a finite set of nef divisors $\mathrm{MB}(x, C) = \{P_1, ..., P_r\}$ such that for a big and nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor D there exist uniquely determined non-negative real numbers $a_i \geqslant 0$ with*

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i P_i \quad \text{and} \quad \triangle(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \triangle(P_i),$$

where the first sum indicates the numerical equivalence of divisors and the second sum is the Minkowski sum of convex bodies.

The Theorem above justifies the following definition.

Definition 2.3 (Minkowski basis)**.** The set $\mathrm{MB}(x, C)$ in Theorem 2.2 is called the *Minkowski basis* of X with respect to the flag (x, C).

Remark 2.4. Note that in general $\mathrm{MB}(x, C)$ is not a basis of the Neron-Severi space $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (treated as an $\mathbb{R}$–vector space).

The proof of Theorem 2.2 in [7] gives in particular a simple way to construct Minkowski basis elements based on the Bauer - Küronya - Szemberg decomposition of the big cone $\mathrm{Big}(X)$ [2].

Theorem 2.5 (BKS–decomposition)**.** *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface. Then there is a locally finite decomposition of the big cone of X into rational locally polyhedral subcones Σ such that in the interior of each subcone Σ the support $\mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma)$ of the negative part of the Zariski decomposition of the divisors in the subcone is constant.*

Now, the idea of Łuszcz-Świdecka and Schmitz is to assign to a chamber Σ an element in the Minkowski basis M_Σ. Specifically, let C be a big and nef curve in the interior of Σ. Then $M_\Sigma = dC + \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i N_i$, where $N_1, \ldots, N_r \in \mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma)$ and a_i are coefficients, which are the solution of the following system of equations

$$S(a_1, ..., a_r)^T = -d(C.N_1, ..., N_r)^T, \tag{1}$$

where S is the $r \times r$ intersection matrix of negative curves $N_1, ..., N_r$. Since S is negatively defined, thus by an auxiliary result in [2] the inverse matrix S^{-1} has only negative entries and thus all numbers a_i are non-negative.

It is convenient to work in the sequel with a compact slice $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$ of the nef cone $\mathrm{Nef}(X)$ defined as

$$\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X) = \{D \in \mathrm{Nef}(X) : \ D.H = 1\}$$

for a fixed ample divisor H on X. We denote by f_i the number of i–dimensional faces of $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, \rho(X) - 1$. Moreover we write $f_0 = (f_0)_b + (f_0)_{nb}$, where $(f_0)_b$ is the number of big vertices in $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$ and $(f_0)_{nb}$ is the number of non-big vertices, see also Lemma 3.1.

Finally, we write $\mathrm{NnB}(X)$ for the number of numerical equivalence classes of nef and non-big integral divisors in $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$ and we write $\mathrm{Zar}(X)$ for the number of Zariski chambers in the BKS-decomposition of $\mathrm{Big}(X)$.

3 The cardinality of Minkowski bases

In the view of Remark 2.4 it is natural to ask how many elements there are in the Minkowski basis. We will show here that the answer depends on the choice of the flag and that the number

$$1 + \mathrm{NnB}(X) + \mathrm{Zar}(X) \tag{2}$$

is a sharp upper bound for the number of elements in the Minkowski basis. The number of negative curves on surfaces with $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}(X)}$ rational polyhedral is finite, hence the number of Zariski chambers on such surfaces is finite as well. This number can be large. For example, for del Pezzo surfaces X_i obtained as the blow ups of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $i \in \{1, ..., 8\}$ general points we have

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\mathrm{Zar}(X_i)$	2	5	18	76	393	2 764	33 645	1 501 681

, (3)

see [1].

Now, we explain that the second summand in (2) is also finite.

Lemma 3.1 (Nef, non-big divisors)**.** *Let X be a surface with $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}(X)}$ rational polyhedral. Then there is only a finite number of nef and non-big divisors in $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there are two divisors N_1, N_2, which are nef and not big, such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$ the divisors $tN_1 + (1-t)N_2$ lie on the common face (here the rational polyhedrality assumption comes into the play). Thus $(tN_1 + (1-t)N_2)^2 = 0$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$, what implies that $N_1.N_2 = 0$. It means that the intersection matrix of N_1, N_2 is the zero matrix of size 2×2, which contradicts the index theorem. □

Now we relate the number in (2) to the geometry of the solid $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a smooth complex projective variety with $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}(X)}$ rational polyhedral. Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\rho-1} f_i = 1 + \mathrm{NnB}(X) + \mathrm{Zar}(X). \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let G be a face of $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$. If $G = \mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$, then this corresponds to $f_{\rho-1} = 1$ and is accounted for by 1 on the right side in the formula (4). Otherwise we distinguish two cases: either G is a vertex of $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$ which is not big, hence $G^2 = 0$ or G is a big vertex or a face of dimension $\geqslant 1$.

The first case occurs $(f_0)_{nb}$ times and is accounted for by the second summand on the right in (4).

The second case corresponds to the third summand in (4). Indeed, given a nef and big divisor D there exists a Zariski chamber Σ_D with $\mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma_D) = D^{\perp}$. This follows from Nakamaye's result [8, Theorem 1.1]. Thus the inequality $\leqslant$ in (4) is established.

For the reverse inequality it suffices to show that distinct Zariski chambers determine distinct faces of $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$. To this end let Σ be a Zariski chamber. By [2] there is a face of $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$ orthogonal to the support of $\mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma)$. The injectivity of this assigment $\Sigma \to \mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma)^{\perp}$ follows again from the aforementioned result of Nakamaye. □

Now we are in a position to prove our main result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a smooth complex projective variety with $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}(X)}$ rational polyhedral. Given a flag (x, A), where A is an ample curve and x is a smooth point on A, there is*

$$\#\,\mathrm{MB}(x, A) = 1 + \mathrm{NnB}(X) + \mathrm{Zar}(X).$$

Proof. Given Zariski chambers Σ_1, Σ_2 with $\mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma_1) = \{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ and $\mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma_2) = \{N_{n+1}, \ldots, N_m\}$, one associates to them the Minkowski basis elements

$$M_{\Sigma_1} = b_1 A + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i N_j \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\Sigma_2} = b_1 A + \sum_{j=n+1}^{m} a_i N_j.$$

Suppose that $\mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma_1) \neq \mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma_2)$ and assume to the contrary that $M_{\Sigma_1} = M_{\Sigma_2}$. Furthermore we may assume after reordering that the symmetric difference between these negative supports is $\{N_k, ..., N_m\}$ for a certain $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$. By the construction of Minkowski basis elements [7] we know that

$$M_{\Sigma_1} = M_{\Sigma_2} \in N_i^{\perp} \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in \{1, ..., m\}.$$

This implies that for every N_i we have $N_i.M_{\Sigma_1} = N_i.M_{\Sigma_2} = 0$. Let us take one of the elements from $\{N_k, ..., N_m\}$. These implies in particular that $N_r.A = 0$, a contradiction. Proceed in the same spirit one shows that the symmetric difference is empty and $\mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma_1) = \mathrm{Neg}(\Sigma_2)$, what ends the proof. □

Example 3.4 (Del Pezzo surfaces)**.** Using the above theorem we can compute the cardinality of Minkowski basis for del Pezzo surfaces X_i with respect to a fixed ample flag (x, A). To this end we need to compute the number of nef non-big curves on X_i. Let $C = aH - \sum b_j E_j$ be such a curve, where as usually $\pi_i : X_i \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at i general points with exceptional divisors $E_1, \ldots, E_i$ and $H = \pi_i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$. First we observe that C is a rational curve. This follows from the adjunction since

$$2(p_a(C) - 1) = K_{X_i} \cdot C + C^2 = K_{X_i} \cdot C < 0$$

implies $p_a(C) = 0$. Hence

$$2 = -K_{X_i} \cdot C = 3a - \sum b_j. \tag{5}$$

On the other hand

$$0 = C^2 = a^2 - \sum b_j^2. \tag{6}$$

It is elementary to check that (5) and (6) have only finitely many integral solutions, listed (up to permutation) in the following table

	a	b_1	b_2	b_3	b_4	b_5	b_6	b_7	b_8
$C(1)$	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$C(2)$	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
$C(3)$	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
$C(4)$	4	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	0
$C(5)$	5	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
$C(6)$	6	3	3	2	2	2	2	1	1
$C(7)$	7	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	1
$C(8_a)$	8	4	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
$C(8_b)$	8	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1
$C(9)$	9	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	2
$C(10)$	10	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3
$C(11)$	11	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3

Note that all solutions can be obtained from $C(1)$ applying standard Cremona transformations. This verifies again that an irreducible nef non-big curve on a del Pezzo surface is rational.

Counting all curves $C(j)$ on the appropriate surface X_i and taking (3) into account we have

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\#\,\mathrm{MB}(x, A)$	3	7	21	81	403	2 797	33 764	1 503 721

.

Remark 3.5. For $r = 0, \ldots, 8$ let X_r be a del Pezzo surface arising as the blow up of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ in r general points. Let C be a curve in the anti-canonical system $-K_{X_r}$. There is a Weyl group action on $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X)$, which fixes the anti-canonical class, see [4]. In this situation, there is a Weyl invariant Minkowski basis $\mathrm{MB}(x, C)$. Indeed, it can be constructed taking for each $j = 0, \ldots, \rho(X) - 1$ an element M_j corresponding to a j–dimensional face of $\mathrm{Nef}_{\mathrm{H}}(X)$ and then all of its images under the action of the Weyl group, see also [2, Section 3.1].

Now we show that for a special choice of a flag (x, C), it might happen that the number of divisors in the Minkowski basis is strictly smaller than the number in (2). In fact we get Minkowski bases with any number of elements between 3 and 7 on the del Pezzo surface X_2.

Example 3.6. For del Pezzo surface X_2 we have the following possibilities:

- Fix a toric flag for X_2, i.e. (x, L_1) with $L_1 \in |H - E_1|$ and $x = L_1 \cap L_2$ for a fixed line $L_2 \in |H - E_2|$. Then by [9]
$$\mathrm{MB}(x, L_1) = \{H, H - E_1, H - E_2\}.$$

From now on x denotes a general point on the flag curve C.

- For the flag (x, C), where $C \in |H|$, we have
$$\mathrm{MB}(x, C) = \{H, H - E_1, H - E_2, 2H - E_1 - E_2\}.$$

- For a curve $C \in |2H - E_1|$, we get
$$\mathrm{MB}(x, C) = \{2H - E_1, H - E_1, H - E_2, H, 3H - 2E_1 - E_2\}.$$

- For a curve $C \in |2H - E_1 - E_2|$ we have
$$\mathrm{MB}(x, C) = \{2H - E_1 - E_2, H - E_1, H - E_2, 2H - E_1, 2H - E_2, H\}.$$

- For the anticanonical flag (x, C) with a curve $C \in |-K_{X_2}|$ we have
$$\mathrm{MB}(x, C) = \{-K_{X_2}, H, H - E_1, H - E_2, 2H - E_1 - E_2, 3H - E_1, 3H - E_2\}.$$

Remark 3.7. It would be interesting to know effective lower bounds on the number of elements in the Minkowski basis.

Acknowledgement. The first author would like to express his gratitude to Jarek Wiśniewski for many interesting conversations and for suggesting several problems related to Okounkov bodies. Both authors would like to thank Polish Railways. This research has originated during a long train journey from Warsaw to Cracow.

Also authors would like to express their gratitude to David Schmitz for pointing out a mistake in the previous version of this note.

References

[1] Th. Bauer & M. Funke & S. Neumann: Counting Zariski chambers on Del Pezzo surfaces, *Journal of Algebra* **324**, 92–101 (2010).

[2] Th. Bauer & A. Küronya & T. Szemberg: Zariski chambers, volumes, and stable base loci, *J. reine angew. Math.* **576**, 209–233 (2004).

[3] K. Kaveh & A. Khovanskii: Newton-Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory, *Ann. of Math.* **176**: 1 - 54 (2012).

[4] Y. Manin: *Cubic Forms. Algebra, Geometry, Arithmetic*, North-Holland Mathematical Library. Vol. 4. North-Holland, 1974.

[5] M. Mustaţă & R. Lazarsfeld: Convex Bodies Associated to Linear Series, *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér.* **(4) 42**, 783–835 (2009).

[6] P. Łuszcz - Świdecka: On Minkowski Decompositions of Okounkov bodies on a Del Pezzo surface, *Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica* **10**, 105–115 (2011).

[7] P. Łuszcz - Świdecka & D. Schmitz: Minkowski decomposition of Okounkov bodies on surfaces, **arXiv**:1304.4246.

[8] M. Nakamaye: Stable base loci of linear series, *Math. Ann.* **318**, 837–847 (2000).

[9] P. Pokora & D. Schmitz & S. Urbinati: Minkowski decomposition and generators of the moving cone for toric varieties, **arXiv**:1310.8505.

Piotr Pokora, Instytut Matematyki, Pedagogical University of Cracow, Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Kraków, Poland.

E-mail address: piotrpkr@gmail.com

Tomasz Szemberg, Instytut Matematyki, Pedagogical University of Cracow, Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Kraków, Poland.

E-mail address: tomasz.szemberg@gmail.com